Board members in attendance: Karen Ward Reimer (Chair), Amy Vlasic, Lorrie Hamilton, Dave Coleman, David Harwood, and Doug Stevens.

Council Liaison present: Julie Cain.

Council Liaison absent: Lou Rasmussen

Staff members present: Chris Claxton, April Bishop, Kim Curran, Brian Anderson and Tonia Morgan.

Chair Ward Reimer called the meeting to order at 5:40 p.m.

Amy Vlasic made a motion to approve the January 8, 2013 minutes. Lorrie Hamilton seconded the motion. The minutes were approved unanimously.

I. Old Business

A. Action Update: Brook Beatty Park

Chris reported that the Planning Commission met January 29; she was unable to attend but Brian represented the department. The rezoning, preliminary and final plan were approved with one stipulation by the homeowners. They requested adding a property line barrier indicating where park property ends and private property begins.

Lorrie reported that she attended the meeting and more are “for” the park than “against” it.

Amy asked what they are actually opposing.

Lorrie replied that they are against it being in their back yard. They like the openness. One of the homeowners against it has a huge fancy wooden swing set with climbing attachments and also a trampoline; his fear may be that children may follow the creek and potentially invite themselves in his yard. The homeowner says it has happened before.

Dave reported that the Public Hearing was interesting. He agrees there were a lot of people for it. Those against it had safety concerns that children may run out in the middle of Lee Blvd. One parent followed this comment saying parents should watch their children at all times. A Planning Commission representative, Mr. Roberston commented that he is hearing a lot of “NIMBY’s”, “Not in My Back Yard”

Lorrie added that she agrees; the opposers don’t want it in their back yard. An attorney as well as a pediatrician both reside in the area are in favor of the park and countered all of the safety issue and concerns brought forth by other parents.

Brian reported that an argument was raised regarding a homeowner who has a huge dog and allows it to be alone in its backyard. The research he found states that utilizing a fence is not an
approved method for restraining a dog solely and doesn’t follow the ordinances. A dog has to also be supervised.

**Lorrie** added that the dog is very large, intimidating and barks continuously at anyone in the area.

**Chris** reported that this is on the upcoming Council agenda that will meet Monday.

**Lorrie** asked if it will be brought back before the Parks and Recreation Committee after the Council meets since the homeowners added a stipulation.

**Chris** reported that she is glad they mentioned a barrier which could be a fence, landscaping or a combination. She is certain we will have to do something further.

**Lorrie** added they mainly discussed landscaping. There isn’t much more that can be done when looking at the plan. Landscaping the entire length of the creek isn’t necessary or an option.

**Chris** added some homeowners are confusing the drainage ditch with the creek; there is a difference; the creek is south similar to the dry watees at Gezer where water goes in when it rains.

**Brian** added that the water drains from the hill to the north on their side of the street and runs through the ditch on Lee, crosses under the street and daylights at Brook Beatty. It then leaves our property onto their property on the portion of the ditch they own and re-enters park property. If installed, the fence would go across a ditch which would be very difficult for our maintenance staff as well as homeowners to mow. A fence would be nearly impossible to maintain.

**Amy** asked if landscaping on their side of the ditch. They are large lots.

**Chris** reported that the lots are big but there isn’t anything back there.

**Lorrie** asked if landscaping must be added from the beginning due to the stipulation requested or added as needed in the future to distinguish the property line.

**Chris** reported that this must be done from the beginning because it was approved with the stipulation. She will talk to the Planning staff to discuss a reasonable solution.

**Brian** asked if a sign stating “private property beyond this point” can be posted.

**Chris** replied that children won’t be able to read the signs. She likes the idea presented at last months meeting by David regarding doing the corners with the split rail fence filled with landscape in between. This is a good idea and much more attractive.

**Amy** asked if Park Board members should attend the meeting.

**Chris** reported that it is always good to have a Park Board presence when big issues are discussed.

**B. 40th Anniversary – 2013**

**Kim** reported that the sub-committee has communicated often via e-mail. Several met recently to float ducks down the watee as a trial run; two hundred ducks can travel down the watee together.
Kim added that she received a quote of $2.15 per duck with an additional $45 setup charge to add a logo on each duck. The duck chosen sits in an intertube with sunglasses on its head.

David reported that he is curious about the high cost per duck. He is researching Derby Duck race supplies on his I-Pad and found a cost of $.98 cents per duck.

Amy replied that they probably aren’t weighted. They won’t travel if they aren’t.

David reported that the ducks he is researching are specifically for duck racing.

Kim added that the ducks they found are nice and stay upright when tossed in.

Chris reported that ducks could be limited to the first two hundred registrations. Maybe a company will sponsor the ducks with their logo.

Amy suggested Bank of Blue Valley; they are close and are always promoting events.

Chris added that this is an idea to consider.

Lorrie asked what will be done with the ducks once the event is over. Will they be given away.

Amy suggested keeping the ducks. They shouldn’t be given away.

Kim reported that she agrees. The ducks won’t be given away at the end of the event.

Kim reported that the dog parade will be held in conjunction with Barks in the Park. The event will be held 10a.m. – noon; the duck derby will begin at 11:30a.m.; if it runs a little past noon it will be fine. Dogs will be judged by category. They suggested logoed frisbees or dog waste bag. Animal Control is contacting local vendors requesting donations to give as prizes. Magnets were also discussed at the meeting; she received a quote of $.5 cents each.

Amy reported that magnets are also sold on Walmart Photo.com.

Kim added that they will have mini cupcakes in conjunction with the Parks & Rec’s birthday.

Brian reported that he is working on an upscale Arbor Day event, for the 40th Celebration. A group of high school volunteers will help plant bare root understory trees and seedlings on the mountain bike trail. The event will be held April 26.

David asked if a scout can assist with this project; this would be a great Eagle Scout project. He has a top notch scout, Ben Cooper who would be eager to help.

Brian reported that he contacted Brookwood Elementary inquiring about a fourth grade class helping but there are issues getting students out of class and transportation expenses. He met with a high school teacher who has students in need of volunteer service hours to complete.

Amy reported that she is working on getting information from a store in the mall that provides hairstyles with sparkles. This was done at a Fall Festival held by Nativity and was a lot of fun.

Kim added that this would be a fun event for the older kids attending.
Kim reported that she, Amy and Lorrie have continued working together trading ideas via e-mail. She will continue researching pricing options.

C. Facebook & Twitter Update

Chris reported that she and David planned to meet but were unable to do so due to busy schedules.

Chris reported that one way communication was once allowed on Facebook but this isn’t the case anymore.

Amy added that one way communication is available.

Chris added that we haven’t been able to overcome the hurdle of public and private pages. There is a difference. The City Attorney has concerns with people being able to comment.

Kim reported that there is a way to prevent people from posting status updates but we haven’t found a way to block people from commenting on a post made by the City; for example, “The 4th of July Event is Thursday”.

David reported that there is a method not published by Facebook to prevent this; there are alternatives to set this up.

Amy reported that she agrees there is a way to do this; she spoke with her niece who runs, monitors and adjusts Facebook accounts for companies. She is unfamiliar with municipalities.

David reported that the City would probably receive a lot of likes but will be surprised if more than two comments and post are received daily. They are probably trying to avoid hiring someone to do this.

Kim reported that she agrees.

Chris reported that the issues about public and private were determined when Mark, I.T. Director opened an account for Kim to test the waters. Kim and David should meet soon to discuss this. An understanding is needed.

Chris added that the Work Session will be held Monday, at 6p.m. She will provide a handout with the benefits of having a Facebook account.

Council Liaison Cain asked if a presentation will be made at the Work Session.

Chris replied that Scott advised that a presentation will not be allowed at the Work Session.

Amy asked the benefit of the Work Session if a presentation isn’t allowed.

Chris reported that she hasn’t received the packet; she only has the copy of what she included.

Council Liaison Cain reported that also hasn’t received her packet but not having a presentation is contrary to what she’d heard before. A brief presentation would be helpful. All attending should be informed. The City Administrator and Mayor have reached out to Chris and David and are
uncomfortable with it being one direction. She would encourage they meet with Mark Andrasik to discuss all of the possibilities prior to the Work Session. This would be helpful.

Chris added that she was also under the impression a presentation would be allowed but found out differently when asked. She will contact Mark and David to schedule a time to meet soon.

Amy reported that Hope Faith Ministries has it and posts all the time.

David added that Kansas City Parks and Recreation and the City of Lenexa have Facebook pages and constantly provides updates on activities, programs, and park statuses. They receive likes and comments from staff as well as residents. Most are positive. The most informative way to see it firsthand would be with a presentation of a municipality who has it.

Chris reported that Patty and Mark are aware of who has it, what they have, and who monitors it. Most cities Facebook pages are operated by a PIO person. Mark is concerned that his department won’t have the time to do it and doesn’t have staff to dedicate to this.

Chris reported that was asked why textcaster isn’t a good tool. Are registrants offered to sign up for this service when they register. If so, will they receive all of the needed information. Many believe textcaster is already in place and should do everything we need and are trying to accomplish with Facebook and Twitter.

Kim reported that this is currently done.

Chris reported that textcaster wouldn’t help with things people don’t know they need to know, for example, the flood on Lee Blvd; people may not think to go to the website to check road closures. Everbridge is still an option but hasn’t been tested. It will begin as a public safety mechanism before possibly adding social media in the future. This could be at least six months to a year.

Council Liaison Cain asked if textcaster is effective. What percentage of our families use it.

Kim reported that less than one percent is signed up but it serves its purpose for those who have it. Most are soccer parents or rent fields and want to be notified when they are closed. A category list to choose from is provided when they sign up so we aren’t inundating people. If a patron only participates in swim lessons they don’t want to receive a text message regarding field closures.

Amy reported that Facebook generates interest. It, along with Twitter would benefit those who aren’t actually enrolled in certain activities but are interested in what’s going on at the park or the Arts Dept., etc. This is similar with residents who receive the program guide; they get it, read it and file it away with hopes of returning to it.

Chris added that this is what she was referring to; people who don’t attend classes we offer may not know the information they actually need. Parks and Recreation provides services and activities; we are trying to engage beyond Leawood residents. Facebook will allow us to reach out more. This is important.

Council Liaison Cain reported that this is a valid point.

Amy reported that as a public institution that taxpayers paid for they should have the right to comment. We should be open to public comment as a public institution; taxpayers have an investment in it. This is similar to completing a survey when comments are encouraged. Certain
issues may receive comments, especially those regarding the amphitheater but most shouldn’t be negative. If nothing more it will start conversation. For every negative comment she’s ever seen, there are fifteen positive.

*Council Liaison Cain* reported that she doesn’t disagree but it is her impression that they want to take baby steps and be ultra conservative.

*David* reported that the Federal Government, F.B.I., and C.I.A. all have Facebook pages that allow comments. He is willing to provide a two – ten minute presentation if allowed to do so in the direction they would like him to go with items prepared for any questions they may have.

*Council Liaison Cain* reported that this should be allowed. A Work Session is used for open dialogue. This will be a learning curve for most Council members; most may not be familiar with it or have a Facebook account. It may be helpful if a representative from KCMO Parks and Recreation Department could share the benefits and/or negatives of having it.

*Chris* and *April* both replied that they know people there. April added that the Interpretive Site Coalition and also the Johnson County Arts Council have Facebook and love it; they think it is extremely odd that we don’t have an account.

*Amy* added that you can *like* Starlight, the Kaufman Center, Theatre in the Park and so many other similar municipalities and follow shows and gain important information. We should too.

*Council Liaison Cain* reported that it would be helpful to have a list of all who have Facebook.

*Chris* reported that the concerns are appreciated and valid but it is not a good approach to focus on everyone else has it so we should too. She agrees with Council Liaison Cain that it will be beneficial to see if there is a way to take baby steps towards the process.

*Council Liaison Cain* reported that maybe there is a test pilot we could launch. The concern is who is going to facilitate it. It may be helpful to have a plan in place prior to the presentation. She would suggest running a test pilot for a short period of time and stop it if deemed unsuccessful.

*Amy* asked Chris if she is aware of their concerns. What do they feel are the ramifications. She recently spoke with Kim regarding the decline in enrollment for the upcoming Daddy Daughter Dance, this could have been posted on Facebook. The Sun Newspaper going out of business really hurt. She is passionate about this cause.

*Chris* reported that a lot of people have concerns about media.

*Kim* added that it also hurt advertising that we aren’t allowed to have flyers in the schools anymore.

*Chris* added that she agrees; these two things alone really hurt our department.

*April* reported that the magazines who replaced them require submitting information a month and a half in advance with no guarantee they will even put it in. There is nothing spontaneous about it.

*Chris* reported that there are often times the information is wrong once printed. We’ve requested a proof before it’s printed but are denied. The magazine she’s referring to was doing more harm than good.
Council Liaison Cain reported that all of this should be noted. This is important information.

David reported that he is typing all of this on his I-Pad and Tonia is taking good notes.

D. Rotary Clock Update

Brian reported that he started a site concept to develop a plan and contacted Brad Moore for his input. Brad is an architect and can help with the plan.

Brian reported that they took grade elevation shots and found that it drops four and a half feet in a forty foot length from the back of the trail. The clock will fit in a fairly tight space to accommodate the ten foot wide trail with a welcoming center area and a couple benches.

Dave asked if the Rotary Club agrees with the proposed location.

Brian added that they accepted the location.

Chair Ward Reimer asked the completion timeline.

Brian replied that it will be helpful to discuss possible cost with the Rotary to determine what they are able to provide. There will be additional expenses to pour the concrete pad and bring fill dirt in. We will provide labor and landscape material but clarity is needed for the entire project.

Chris reported that we want to be clear in the beginning what we are able to do; she expressed this to Brad and Council Member Rawlings. We can run the trenching for the electricity and bring fill in to build it up but they should be responsible for additional items they may want. We also need to determine who will maintain it and absorb the cost if the clock needs to be replaced. We don’t want it to turn into a Lions fountain; a lot of money was spent that didn’t anticipate.

Amy asked how fundraising efforts are going.

Chris reported that to her knowledge they haven’t begun. It would best for them to postpone fundraising efforts until the conceptual plan is complete to use as a tool.

E. Update on Land Donation/Possible Dog Park

Chris reported that this is complete with the exception of receiving the deed. We actually received an additional acre and a half we were expecting. It doesn’t have to be developed but is nice to have. The total acreage received is a little more than 7 acres.

Chris reported that the Committee needs to dedicate time at the next meeting to discuss important issues prior to the April 1, Work Session. For example, who the dog park users are, will it be for residents only or will non-residents be allowed, and will receive a discount. What are the maintenance cost, maintenance issues, will there be fencing; if so, how high, (4 ft. or 6ft.). Will we provide water service, or an agility course etc. It is easier to list all things and remove what’s not needed. Some things will be a given, signage and a fence, but how much land should be developed. She will send questions to the Committee prior to the next meeting of items that we need to discuss that will drive the cost. There are a lot of questions moving forward.
Doug reported that we need to have more than a menu list but also a diagram and exhibit our recommendation before April 1.

Chair Ward Reimer agrees. She is anxious to receive questions from Chris to review prior to the March meeting to be able to make a recommendation for the April Work Session.

Chris reported that the consideration is to fund the dog park in 2014. She is confident the cost won’t exceed $300,000.

Council Liaison Cain asked if pictures of dog parks in the area will be provided for the April 1, meeting.

Chris reported that we have pictures Council Liaison Rasmussen took, she has a disk from NRPA, and Mel Lawrey provided pictures he took in Dallas.

Amy suggested Committee members visit the area when the weather is nicer. It is a pretty section of land.

Chris reported that this is a really good suggestion. It is pretty. The advantage is there isn’t any residential in the area and we don’t have to build parking. These are good factors and are positive issues other areas had to battle.

Council Liaison Cain reported that a 6ft. fence, a separation of little dogs from big dogs, or a fancy gated entry isn’t needed. The most important need is a functional space for the dogs. The dog park should remain as natural as possible and non-residents should be allowed to use it.

Brian added that a lot of animal groups fundraised and built the fence with monies raised in Leavenworth.

April reported that this is a good idea. People spend a lot of monies on their dogs. Recently a fundraising event was held at the Lodge that raised $70,000. It will be easier to fundraising for this than other fundraising efforts.

Chris reported that the same thing can be done for the dog park that was done at Gezer Park.

Brian likes the idea that Council Liaison Rasmussen suggested at a previous meeting that pet owners should have the option to purchase a membership swipe card when they register their pet.

Lorrie asked how this will be monitored.

Brian added that there won’t be any way to prevent people from swiping their card to open the gate for friends unless someone is there to monitor the area. He believes a separation is needed because big dogs can be vicious and do serious damage to a smaller dog if attacked.

**F. Project Update**

Chris gave a presentation for the Committee to review of the proposed building.

Chris reported that she’s worked with Greg of Kevin Cowan. The original footprint was not to add or take away from the restrooms original plan and have a concept that would not be meered to what we’ve seen before. One of the biggest issues before was seeing the roofline of the
amphitheatre. They had several meetings. The roof will have asphalt shingles, some of the stone will be kept; they will receive samples soon, hopefully similar to the nature center.

Chris added that the Stage Company’s desire is to have shade; there isn’t any shade when working there. The proposed front of the building faces west. The restrooms will be slightly larger than we have anywhere else.

Council Liaison Cain asked if the concession area and other requests previously discussed will be included.

Chris reported that she was instructed by City Administrator, Scott Lambers to only include the structure without any additions. She expressed to him that it would be an additional $150-$160K. He is trying to manage the money incrementally.

David asked was it designed with the intent to add on in the future conveniently.

April reported that her understanding is the concession and storage would be done separately if added at all but there isn’t a plan to add onto this building. It isn’t feasible.

Chris reported that the City Administrator never said anything about another building. It could be done but would cost more. It wouldn’t have any value.

David reported that as things adapt and there is an ultimate build out for the amphitheater is unclear of the future and if the needs change to incorporate the potential for need changes into the design where it doesn’t add to the cost to this structure but allows the possibility to do something down the road. This should be considered.

Brian reported that the original bathroom plan had a separate concession area if a full amphitheater build out was done.

Chris reported that this would be an option. However the other option was to take the same footprint not changing the number of restrooms and adding to both sides.

Council Liaison Cain reported that she understands Chris did what she was told but is disappointed that the important needs weren’t taken into consideration to include that concession window, actors restrooms, utility sink, access to water and the basic functions needed. The last she understood was that we would have some middle ground.

Chris reported that both options were to be presented to Council when she originally talked to Scott to determine if additional money would be spent. This is no longer on the table. She is happy to report back to the City Administrator if the Committee would like to discuss the needs of the Stage Company being ignored.

Council Liaison Cain reported that it isn’t only the Stage Company, it is any big event held there. She likes the look but is disappointed we don’t have the needed features. This is important.

Chris reported that she agrees. There wouldn’t be anything that the Stage Company wouldn’t utilize that we wouldn’t be able to use also. We don’t need anything elaborate but she discussed the needs of a concession counter when first reviewed with Greg. She feels strongly that if there is a desire to have an addition in the short term, it needs to be done when this is done.
Council Liaison Cain reported that it is good to remain in budget.

Chair Ward Reimer ask for reconsideration

Amy Vlasic asked if funds are available to build another building if needed.

Chris reported that the funds aren’t available. This would be the decision of the Council to appropriate $150K or more for an additional building. If they decide to do so, there wouldn’t be a need for a separate facility. We would save money if included together. She is happy to send an e-mail including the footprint of what this will look like to include what we previously discussed if the Committee recommends this tonight. It would definitely be ready for 2014 Fall productions.

David reported that this is one piece of a bigger issue that hasn’t been resolved and we are making a decision that potentially affects what would happen in the future or an assumption on spending monies today.

Chris reported yes, and no; no, because the question was asked of staff if another restroom was warranted if we never have anything more than what is there now. We said yes. It would be used with our children’s programs, camps and rentals.

Amy made a motion that the Committee would like Council to consider the idea of incorporating the top items previously discussed to include the concession stand, storage, and actor’s restroom with a utility sink/room added to the proposed building. The community we serve and programs we offer could benefit from the additions now and in the future based on concepts presented at previous Parks and Recreation meetings.

David Harwood seconded the motion. The Committee approved the motion with the exception of one opposer; Dave Coleman, who stated that it isn’t good policy to ask Council for additional funds due to the expansion of the park being in uncertain flux and so much is moving and constantly going on.

Dave asked if Council allocated any money towards Ironwoods Park or the amphitheater. What have they approved.

Chris reported that this is the remaining amount of $12.5 million dollar bond issue from 1998. The money was bonded and unspent when construction was complete and everything was built. City Council agreed to appropriate the remaining for construction of a restroom.

Dave asked how much money was left.

Chris reported that there was initially $500,000 but there was an issue with the sanitary line and the area had to be re-master planned and the intersection widened. A lot of money has been spent on engineers and plan design. There is $323,000 remaining.

David reported that it’s been twenty years of moving; will it come to an end. There are usage needs in the park. Something else will be needed at the park depending on how the additional acreage is developed. We need to complete this facility to serve the current needs. The users of the existing amphitheater and other facilities in the immediate vicinity would benefit greatly from it.
Council Liaison Cain appreciates Dave’s statement; he hasn’t been a part of the previous Council meetings; it’s hard to understand without the entire history. A unanimous decision was made by Council that restrooms are needed despite anything else. One hundred thousand dollars is a lot of money but when you take in consideration the cost to bring anything to fruition and being able to meet the needs to a greater extent rather than not modifying the building is beneficial. Every four corner street light in Leawood costs a quarter of a million dollars. The additions should be done now.

Chris reported this will be helpful at as staff moves forward with the revised master plan. This will be a start to get things moving that Dave referenced. It is hard to manage when you don’t know where you are headed.

II. New Business
A. Consideration of Short/Long Term Goals {LaBodega 2/20 – 5:30p.m.}

Chris reported that Kim will be out of town and Lorrie is also unable to make it. She proposed moving the session to Tuesday, March 5, 5:30p.m.

Chris asked Tonia to contact LaBodega to reschedule.

Tonia reported that she will e-mail the Committee once confirmed.

B. Staff Reports

Brian reported that he has a Bicycle Committee meeting tomorrow, the first one in three months. He completed a request for proposal for the bicycle pedestrian master plan. Chris reviewed it, he sent it to the Legal Department and forwarded it to the Bicycle Committee for their comments. Hopefully this will move forward soon.

Brian added that a member of the Kansas City and Johnson County Bicycle Club volunteered and held a work day on our Mountain Bike Trail with twenty five participants. A lot was done. An idea was proposed to host a two week Mountain Bike camp this summer for children ages 11-16. This would be really good for the bike encouragement effort. He likes where this is going.

Brian also reported that the water leak discussed previously has been repaired but we’ve experienced another one. All are related to the drought.

David reported that unfortunately it will get worse when the moisture gets in the soil and everything goes the other direction and all of the fixtures attached to the pipes gets pulled up off of the connections.

Chris reported that this is a frightening thought.

Brian agreed.

Brian added that he received the preliminary plans from the 123rd St. and Mission trail. He will meet with Chris soon to discuss this.

Council Liaison Cain asked the improvement cost to repair the trail.
Brian replied that the exact cost hasn’t been determined. The preliminary plan estimated one million dollars. It needs to be reviewed.

Council Liaison Cain reported that this is her point; a half a mile stretch may cost one million dollars.

Brian reported that South Lake has another rusted hole; the overflow structure goes down the creek when it rains. The pipe is rusting. A patch made last summer isn’t holding. He will research lining the culvert that could possibly cost $3,000. He is researching companies that provide the services needed.

Brian added that he is in the process of hiring seasonal staff. He will begin interviews soon.

Brian also reported that this will be a huge turnover year for equipment. He is working on bid specifications.

Kim reported that soccer deadline has passed. Fifteen hundred children enrolled. Late fees have begun; she anticipates an additional one hundred will register. This will be another good year.

Kim added that they’ve worked on program guide information for the summer guide. We’ve made changes to some of the camps to hopefully boost enrollment.

Kim reported that Shelly interviewed pool staff. All hiring is complete. We are now in need of camp counselors.

Kim reported that Daddy Daughter Date Night has sixty-five enrolled.

Kim reported that Swim and Dive Team Registration is March 4. It usually fills in an hour.

Kim reported that challenger course staff will begin preseason paperwork at the beginning of March. Training for the new season will be held the end of March.

Kim added that Brock has been busy planning field trips and hiring staff for Spring Break camp.

April passed out invitations for the upcoming Arti Gras event. It is selling fast. Register early if you plan to attend.

April added that Tea with Shakespeare also sells out early. Notify her if you would like to attend.

April reported that they are auditioning for Sound of Music. The cast will be selected before the next meeting.

C. Misc.

There weren’t any miscellaneous items discussed.

D. Next Scheduled Meeting Date – March 12, 2013

Chair Ward Reimer reported that the next meeting will be held March 12, at 5:30 p.m.

David Harwood made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Dave Coleman seconded the motion.
The motion was approved unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 8:13 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Chris Claxton, Director
Parks and Recreation Department